

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/518,439  | 12/17/2004  | David V. Flaugher    | 020362 056P2             | 7810            |
| 7590 03/15/2006   |             |                      | EXAMINER                 |                 |
| Bruce E Peacock   |             |                      | GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL |                 |
| Wegman Hessler & Vanderburg 6055 Rockside Woods Boulevard |             |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Suite 200   |             |                      | 3749                     |                 |
| Cleveland, OH   | 44131       |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006  |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |         |  |  |
|--|---|---|---------|--|--|
| Office A - 4' - 11 O - 11 - 11   | 10/518,439  | FLAUGHER, DAV   | ′ID V.  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |         |  |  |
|  | Stephen Gravini   | 3749  |         |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communic<br>Period for Reply  | cation appears on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence ad  | ldress  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO<br>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or<br>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply when any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ALING DATE OF THIS COMMU<br>f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may<br>nication.<br>utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N<br>rill, by statute, cause the application to become | NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this coe ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |  |  |
| Status   | •   |   |         |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed  | I on 21 February 2006.  |   |         |  |  |
| ,  | b) This action is non-final.  |   |         |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for  |   |   |         |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice   | e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (   | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |         |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |         |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are pending in  | the application.  |   |         |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are   | e withdrawn from consideration.   |   |         |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   | ,   |         |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.  | •   |   |         |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |         |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict  | ion and/or election requirement.  |   |         |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |         |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the  | Examiner.   |   |         |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  | a) accepted or b) objected  | to by the Examiner.   |         |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any object  | tion to the drawing(s) be held in abe   | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |         |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to  | ·   |   |         |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to  | by the Examiner. Note the attac   | hed Office Action or form P   | ΓΟ-152. |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |         |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   | or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C  | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |         |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority of   | locuments have been received.   |   |         |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority of   | locuments have been received in   | n Application No  |         |  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of</li></ol>  | f the priority documents have be  | en received in this National  | Stage   |  |  |
| application from the Internation   | ,   |   |         |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action  | for a list of the certified copies r  | not received.   |         |  |  |
|  |   |   |         |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |         |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Intervie   | ew Summary (PTO-413)  |         |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT  | O-948) Paper  | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT  | O-152\  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice 6) ☐ Other:  |   |         |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Trapp et al. (US 5,983,516).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trapp.

Trapp is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as rejected above, except for the claimed snap fit. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a fastening means, such as the claimed snap fit, since the fastener disclosed on the face of the Trapp reference would perform the same fastening function regardless of the type of fastener used.

### Double Patenting

Claims 23-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,692,556 in view of Line (US 2,758,719). Applicant's assignee claims each element of the currently claimed invention, except for the claimed docking piece having a housing detachably engaged in a slot. Line, another desiccant cartridge, is considered to disclose a docking piece having a housing detachably engaged in a slot at column 2 lines 44-61. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the claimed patented subject matter of applicant's assignee earlier patent with the docking piece having a housing

Art Unit: 3749

detachably engaged in a slot, as considered disclosed in Line, for the purpose of removing and/or changing a cartridge assembly without disruption of the system to which it is connect. Furthermore, applicant's assignee has patented the claimed invention except for the currently claimed snap fit. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to provide a fastening means, such as the claimed snap fit, since the patented fastener would perform the same fastening function regardless of the type of fastener used.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3749

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SMG March 89, 2006